

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**V.**

**JAVIER JIMENEZ-RAMOS and  
MISTY JIMENEZ,**

**Defendants.**

**8:10CR238**

## ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Javier Jimenez-Ramos (Jimenez-Ramos) (Filing No. 31). Jimenez-Ramos seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for September 7, 2010. Jimenez-Ramos' counsel has represented to the court that Jimenez-Ramos will submit an affidavit wherein Jimenez-Ramos represents that he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Jimenez-Ramos' counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

**IT IS ORDERED:**

1. Jimenez-Ramos' motion to continue trial (Filing No. 31) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter **as to both defendants** is re-scheduled for **October 12, 2010**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **August 26, 2010, and October 12, 2010**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 26th day of August, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge